UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



SEP _{1 3 2013}

LaVernon Titus	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. C13-02401 JST CASE NO. C13-02401 JST
Plaintiff(s),	OF CALIFORNIA
v. City and County of San Francisco, et al Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have met and confe following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 an	•
The parties agree to participate in the following AD	PR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (A Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8	DR L.R. 5) conference with a Magistrate Judge is y other form of ADR must participate in an They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify process)	s and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadle referring the case to an ADR process.)	line is 90 days from the date of the order s unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: 9/11/12	William Hopkins Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 9/11/12	Elizabeth Pederson Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER
	The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED. The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Early Settlement phone conference scheduled for Friday, September 13, 2013 will be taken off calendar.

Dated: 9/13/13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."